Partnership of the Minds

How your brain can referee the Murphy vs. Ockham title fight

> Dr. W. A. Ciccotelli Grand River & St, Mary's General Hospitals

Disclosure



Overview

Walk through an improbable case
Introduce causes of common medical errors
Highlight its importance for IPAC
Outline mitigation strategies

Prologue - Cautionary Notes

Retrospectoscope works well to spot errors
Thoughts/intent inferred from written record
Incomplete
Highlight teaching points
My last names are not Skinner or Freud
I am guilty as charged

Patient

- Orchiectomy (2 yr. prior)
 - "Florid granulomatous disease"
 - Necrotizing/abscess formation
 - Negative stains/no culture performed
- Ex smoker
- From the Middle East (left >10 yr.)
- No known history/contact with TB
- Unknown TST status/HIV neg.
- Ø Worked in healthcare

Respirology consult (Jan)

- 4 weeks of dyspnea/dry cough/weight loss
- O Underwhelming "Infectious" symptoms
- R sided pleural effusion (moderate)
 - Volume overload vs. other (malignancy)
- Pleural fluid
 - Bloody
 - Slight elevated WBC (0.7 with 64% lymphocytic)
 - Ø Borderline exudative
 - Ocytology & cultures x 2 neg.

Follow up (1 week later)

- "Better" but dry cough
- CXR improved R effusion
- Volume overload vs. malignancy
 - 🥑 Echo (nil)
 - O CT chest/abdomen ordered
 - Thoracentesis as needed
 - Given diuretics
 - Effusion further improved 2 wks. later

CT chest (March)

- Bilateral large effusions
- Faint tree in bud pattern (upper lobes)
- Collapse/consolidation of multiple lobes
- Stranding/congestion small bowel mesentery
- No immediate clinical follow up

ER (3 weeks post CT)

- Enlarged/painful irregular testicle
 - U/S = complex avascular mass
- Worsening L >> R pleural bloody effusion
 - Airspace dz on L sided better post thoracentesis
- CT chest minimized (lack of classic features)
- O Urine culture for TB
- Orchiectomy for TB culture as outpatient

Outpatient (1 week later)

- 2 + AFB seen in urine
 - o AMTD + → M. tuberculosis in culture
- Pleural fluid (ER visit)
 - No AFB
 - M. tuberculosis in culture (pan S)
- Quad TB therapy started (INH/RIF/PZA/ETH)
- Pulmonary TB not suspected

ER (Day 2 of TB meds)

- ICU admission for hemorrhagic shock
 - Duodenal ulcer
- Respiratory deterioration
 - New/sudden airspace disease
 - Query aspiration
- Airborne isolation

CT chest/abdo/pelvis (vs. March)

- Progression of pulmonary nodules
- Ca2+ hilar/mediastinal LN
 - Missed on prior CT
- Ø Diffuse colitis
- Fat stranding/ascites/irregularities in omentum and mesentery

- April 18 sputum 1+ AFB pos = TB
 April 19 pleural fluid TB + culture

 AFB stain neg

 5 sputum samples (May 1-7) negative for TB

 4 wks. of therapy
- Airborne isolation D/C Day 26 of therapy

ICU stay (8 weeks)

- Pseudomonas aeruginosa CR-BSI (May)
 - /- VAP from trach colonization
- MRSA CR-BSI (June)
 - Colonization from May 4
 - Positive BC x8 days despite line changes & IV Abx
- IV steroids for sepsis

- Vesicular rash noted by resident
 - Active MRSA bacteremia
 - Purulence to the fluid
 - MRP not notified x 72hrs
- Reviewed by ID
 - Primary VZV vs. disseminated shingles
 - Airborne isolation & IV acyclovir
 - Viral culture = VZV
- Patient expired day 5 of rash

Epilogue

18 days later...

- Patient's adult offspring x2 primary VZV
- Prior immunity for any adult case unknown
- No known ill family prior to index case
- Unknown if pediatric vaccinations or exposure to mild case in patient's grand children
- Summary
 - ho Extrapul. ightarrow pulmonary disseminated TB
 - Nosocomial VZV in ICU with transmission

"Clinical" Decision Making

Diagnostic errors are estimated at 10-15%

- Missed, incorrect or delayed
- O Undifferentiated syndromes
- ICU/ER, Family/Internal Medicine
- Systems and/or individual causes
- Downstream effects on therapeutic decisions
- Raise risk for adverse outcomes

Croskerry. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(26):2445-8 Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86

Individual Decision Making

Various process errors with info stream

 Availability→ assimilation→ cognitive analysis

Common scenarios are mishandled

- Errors due to reasoning process > knowledge
- Different then rare events (missed/delayed)
- Freq. association with overconfidence
 - Little insight with one's own error rate/magnitude

Croskerry. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(26):2445-8 Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86

Thinking Deconstructed

Reasoning based on cognitive processes Psychological research – 2 speed motor Involve different areas of brain MRI can visualize unique processes O Different pros/cons Healthcare is probability heavy

- Proper application needed
- Dictated by

complexity/experience/personality

Croskerry. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(26):2445-8

Mob Mentality





Type 2

Type 1 – Caveperson Circuitry



- Heuristic/intuitive
- Quick & reflexive
- Subconscious process
- Hard wired or from repetitive exposure
- Ubiquitous usage
- Indispensible

Pattern recognition Croskerry. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(26):2445-8 Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86

Type 2 – Modern Day Marvel

- Controlled/analytic
- Slow/deliberate
- Conscious process
- Requires practice & active engagement
- Limited use in daily life
- Logical & reliable
- Probability based "fits"



Croskerry. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(26):2445-8 Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86



Saposnik et al. BMC Medical Informatics & Decision Making. 2016; 16:138

Diarrhea Plus C. *difficile* toxin B PCR +

Type 1

It is C. difficile colitis

Type 2

 Disease vs. colonization

- Other causes of diarrhea
- Duration in hospital

Abxs

- Stooling pattern
- Supporting lab/clinical features
- Pre test probability

Type 1 – Downsides



- Most error prone
 - Cognitive biases

 - False assumptions
- Error rate magnified
 - Time pressure
 - Perceived simplicity
 - Personality traits
- "Gambling"

Croskerry. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(26):2445-8 Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86

Type 1 – Downsides



- Outdated ancient tool
 - Complex risk assessments
 - Information heavy
- Primitive roots makes it hard to "turn off"
- Unaware of when it

Croskerry. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(26):2445-8 Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86

Type 2 – Downsides

- Takes too much "CPU time"
 - Not the primary tool
- Fails differently
 - Wrong logic paradigm
 - Too much throughput
 - Tired/sleep deprivation
 - Emotions
 - Communication error



Croskerry. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(26):2445-8 Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86

Cognitive Bias

Heuristics = simple decision making rules
Periodic faulty application of heuristics

Over-utilized Type 1
Overrides Type 2
Differs from systematic effect of personality

Occurs at any point in reasoning process

Commonly > 1 involved

Type 2 tends to be protective



DESIGNHACKS.CO · CATEGORIZATION BY BUSTER BENSON · ALGORITHMIC DESIGN BY JOHN MANOOGIAN III (JM3) · DATA BY WIKIPEDIA

Creative () () attribution · share-alike

Downloaded from Wikipedia March 27, 2017

Anchoring Bias

- Focusing on 1 possibility & failing to consider others
- Despite contradictory information
- Thought that effusion/case was not TB
 - Renal failure/improvement on diuretics
 - Age = cancer
 - Bloody lymphocytic pleural fluid
 - Prior pathology result (testicle)

Representation Heuristic

Match current to past patterns
Limits 'differential diagnosis' created
Probability of fit ≠ probability of diagnosis
Insuff. pattern recognition for rare diagnosis

 CHF/cancer was more likely with a mild chronic outpatient presentation

Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86

Premature Closure Bias

- Abrupt narrowing of possibilities too early
 True answer removed from consideration
- Rash in ICU discounted as persistent MRSA bacteremia related no swab/action taken
 TB culture (pleural) negative initially

Search Satisfaction Bias

- Incomplete assessment once 1 abnormality is found
- Abnormality may not be germane to the problem
- Orchitis vs. big picture
 AFB seen in urine but sputum not re tested
 To determie contagiousness

So Why Am I Boring You...

- Clinical assessment 2nd most common error
 - Linked to cognitive misfires
- Analysis of 100 errors in Internal Medicine
 - 65% system factors

 - o 50% both
 - Average of 6 factors per error
- Harm is associated with some errors

Schiff *et al*. Arch Intern Med. 2009; 169(20):1881-7 Graber *et al*. Arch Intern Med. 2005; 165(13):1493-9

Slow Adoption

- Growing recognition to explain human errors
- Historic emphasis on fixing systems
- Other professions more aware (i.e. aviation)
 - Reduce human error
 - Redesigning training/processes
- Literature for medicine not robust
- CMPA alerting members

Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86 Saposnik et al. BMC Medical Informatics & Decision Making. 2016; 16:138

So Why Am I Boring You...

- ICPs rely on MDs for crucial information
 - MDs are not perfect
 - Spot the trouble/discordance
 - Clinical vs. IPAC "diagnosis"
 - Avoid/minimize propagation of errors
 - Maintain standardized approach

Physician Scouting Report

Limited data

Most common causes of errors

- Cognitive bias anchoring, framing, info
- Tolerance to uncertainty
- Aversion to ambiguity

Physician Scouting Report

- O Diagnostic inaccuracies/overconfidence
 - Anchoring, availability, information bias
- Management errors
 - Anchoring, premature closure, confirmation, representation bias
- Overutilization of resource
 - Less comfort to uncertainty
- Optimal management
 - More tolerance to ambiguity

Confirmation Bias

Find/utilize data that supports one's idea
Ignore data that refutes one's idea

 Use of insensitive (pleural) fluid AFB stain or culture to r/o TB

O Despite TB risk factors

Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86

Aggregation Bias

- Data/recommendations derived from the "average case" do not apply to a specific situation
- O Despite the clear fit
- "Anti generalizability"
- "My patient is sicker"
- Hand hygiene
- Droplet/contact when NPS ordered for ARI

So Why Am I Boring You...

- ICPs make "surveillance diagnosis"
 - Clinical history
 - Risk factor examination
 - Diagnostic/laboratory test ordering
 - Decision on IPAC measures ("therapy")
- Parallels to the physician
- Forced onto Yes or No outcomes
 - O Despite probabilities

Bias Prone Areas

Ø Battle consistency for scrutinized decisions
Ø Sensitivity – outbreaks
Ø Specificity – outcome reporting
Ø Not blinded – normally a safe guard
Ø Assess outcomes → assign consequences
Ø Standardization hard maintain with human factors alone
Ø Do we solve outbreaks or judger their resolution?

Bias Prone Areas

- Reproducibility of definitions (i.e. HAI-ESBL)
- Constraints on accuracy
 - Yes/No outcomes in complex world
 - Case finding when no easy flag
- O Desirability
 - Consequences of public reporting
 - Case assessment when results were criticized
 - Excessive specificity in future
 - Belief that one's intervention have worked

Trick. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 57(3):434-40

Affective Bias

Ounconscious emotional reaction to situation
Interferes with proper decision making
Esp. during periods of uncertainty
Not a cognitive bias

- Reverse isolation
- Excessive response given aversion to outbreaks

Trick. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 57(3):434-40 CMPA Perspective Dec 2012; 4(5):8-9

Framing Effect

- The context of how an event was presented can result in different decisions
- Without changing the facts
- Heightened during uncertainty or when emotionally charged
- Minimizing the infraction around device reprocessing affects risk assessment

Trick. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 57(3):434-40

Clustering Illusion

- Overestimating the value/significance of small blips in large random data sets
 Esp. with small time frames
 Sensitivity at the cost of specificity
 Cluster by chance or by design
- Can affect resource utilization
- SSI or CR-BSI ratesTwo nosocomial cases

The Fix In Is

We are all guilty – insight needed
Impeded by personality traits/overconfidence
Recognize risky situations

Actively promote a switch back to Type 2
Probability not perception

Awareness of thought processes

"Decouple" from improper Type 1
Engage anti-bias strategies

Personal Goals

- Difficult to develop de biasing strategies
 - No single fix
 - Constant editing and re enforcement
 - Self customized solutions
- Learn to "engage purposeful self-regulatory judgment"
 - 6 Efficient use of Type 2
 - Override misapplied Type 1
- Possible but lifelong project

Personal Goals

- Requires support environment
 - Raise awareness/educate
 - Introduce skills early in training
 - Embrace critical thinking
 - Work cognitive error prevention into processes
 - Review errors to create de biasing strategies
 - Avoid burnout for reflective decision review

Croskerry. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(26):2445-8 Pennsylvania Patient Safety Advisory. 2010; 7(3):73-86

System Fix

Standardized tools/checklists

- Concrete definitions/discrete data elements
- Objective criteria (easy to extract)
- Readily (externally) audited for deviations
- External expert/group appraisal of bias prone events
 - Hand hygiene
- Separate surveillance & prevention tasks
 - Ø Blinding
- Set realistic goals & action thresholds a priori

Saposnik et al. BMC Medical Informatics & Decision Making. 2016; 16:138 Trick. Clin Infect Dis. 2013; 57(3):434-40

Exclusively for IPAC

Zero Risk Bias

- Reducing a small risk further (to zero) vs.. greater reduction with a large risk
- "Bundled" interventions



*Association with the caption is purely coincidental

Exclusively for IPAC

Availability Bias

- Easily recalled (weird/unusual) diagnosis
- Distorts the true probability of the current event
- How are rashes and testicular lesions to be viewed now?



*Association with the caption is purely coincidental

Exclusively for IPAC

Sunk Cost Fallacy

- Continued commitment/investm ent in an idea that is increasingly likely to be wrong
- Not wanting to abandon prior investment
- Doubling down"
- <fill in example>



*Association with the caption is purely coincidental

Summary

- Weird cases sets the scene for trouble
- Humans have 2 unique thought processes
- Type 1 thinking leads to medical errors
 - Cognitive bias
- IPAC departments can fall into these traps
- Remedies need to be sustained & multi faceted